## A structure preserving, conservative, low-rank tensor scheme for solving the 1D2V Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation

Joseph Nakao ${ }^{1}$, William Taitano ${ }^{2}$, Jingmei Qiu ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware<br>${ }^{2}$ Aerospace Systems Directorate (RQ), Air Force Research Laboratory

5th Annual Meeting of the Texas-Louisiana Section (SIAM TXLA22)
This research was supported in part by the AFOSR SFFP.
(1) Motivation
(2) The Algorithm
(3) Numerical Results

44 Concluding Remarks

## Table of Contents

(1) Motivation
(2) The Algorithm
(3) Numerical Results

4 Concluding Remarks

## Kinetic models to describe plasmas

## Why should we care?

- Plasmas compose $99 \%$ of visible matter in the universe.
- Space plasmas (space weather, astrophysical systems, solar physics), laboratory fusion plasmas (magnetic confinement, inertial confinement), electric propulsion systems, etc...
- Designing next-generation high-powered systems.
- Experimental iterations are expensive and time consuming.
- Numerical simulations can accelerate the design iteration procedure.


Figure: AFRL Hall effect thruster, taken from AFRL website.

## Kinetic models to describe plasmas

- Plasma is a gas containing ionized atoms and/or free electrons.
- Hybrid fluid electron - kinetic ion model.
- Single ion species $\alpha$.
- Distribution function $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} ; t), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.


## Algorithmic challenges:

- High-dimensional simulations (e.g., 3D3V) are expensive in computation and storage
- Respect the physics: conservation, positivity preservation, equilibrium preservation, relative entropy dissipation, etc...


## Tensor decompositions and the CP format

A tensor $\mathscr{X}$ can be thought of as a multi-index array, e.g., $\mathscr{X}_{i, j, k} \approx f\left(x_{i}, y_{j}, z_{k}\right)$.

$$
\text { (CP format) } \quad \mathscr{X} \approx \sum_{r=1}^{R} \mathbf{a}_{r}^{(1)} \circ \ldots \circ \mathbf{a}_{r}^{(d)} \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{R}\left(\bigotimes_{n=1}^{d} \mathbf{a}_{r}^{(n)}\right)
$$



Fig. 3.1 $C P$ decomposition of a three-way array.
(Kolda and Bader [5], pp. 463)

- Discretize each dimension with $N$ grid points.
- Store $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{r}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: r=1, \ldots, R\right\}$ in frames $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times R}$ for $n=1, \ldots, d$.
- Storage complexity is $d R N$; much less than $N^{d}$ if naturally low rank.


## The 1D2V Vlasov-Leonard-Bernstein-Fokker-Planck

 equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial t}+v_{\|} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x}+\frac{q_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} E_{\|} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial v_{\|}}=C_{\alpha \alpha}+C_{\alpha e}  \tag{1a}\\
& C_{\alpha \alpha}=\nu_{\alpha \alpha} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot\left(\frac{T_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_{\alpha}+\left(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\right) f_{\alpha}\right)  \tag{1b}\\
& C_{\alpha e}=\nu_{\alpha e} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot\left(\frac{T_{e}}{m_{\alpha}} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_{\alpha}+\left(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}_{e}\right) f_{\alpha}\right) \tag{1c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{\alpha}$ is the distribution function for the single ion species $\alpha$, and the charge, mass, temperature, drift velocity, and collision frequencies for the ion species and electron are respectively denoted by $q, m, T, \mathbf{u}$, and $\nu$.

## The fluid electron model

Assumptions: Quasi-neutrality $\left(n_{\alpha}=n_{e}\right)$, ambipolarity $\left(\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}=\mathbf{u}_{e}\right)$, and Ohm's $\operatorname{law}\left(E_{\|}=\frac{1}{q_{e} n_{e}} \frac{\partial p_{e}}{\partial x}\right)$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial p_{e}}{\partial t}+\frac{5}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u_{e, \|} p_{e}\right)-u_{e, \|} \frac{\partial p_{e}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\kappa_{e, \|} \frac{\partial T_{e}}{\partial x}\right)=W_{e \alpha}  \tag{2a}\\
W_{e \alpha}=-\left\langle\frac{m_{\alpha}|\mathbf{v}|^{2}}{2}, C_{\alpha e}\right\rangle=3 \nu_{\alpha e} n_{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}-T_{e}\right) \tag{2b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p_{e}=n_{e} T_{e}$ is the electron pressure, $\kappa_{e, \|}$ is the thermal conductivity, and the velocity space $L^{2}$ inner product is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle F(\mathbf{v}), G(\mathbf{v})\rangle \doteq 2 \pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\mathbf{v}) G(\mathbf{v}) v_{\perp} d v_{\perp} d v_{\|} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Goal: Solve the nonlinear coupled system for $f_{\alpha}\left(x, v_{\perp}, v_{\|}, t\right)$.
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## The semi-discrete kinetic model

Full rank in space and low rank in velocity.

Letting $[a, b]$ be the spatial domain in $x$, we assume a uniform grid

$$
a=x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{N_{x}}=b
$$

where $\Delta x=x_{i+1}-x_{i}$, for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N_{x}-1$.

First-order implicit-explicit (IMEX) scheme,
$f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha \alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha e, i}^{k+1}+\frac{q_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \Delta t E_{\|, i}^{k+1} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}}{\partial v_{\|}}=f_{\alpha, i}^{k}-v_{\|} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left(\hat{f}_{\alpha, i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\hat{f}_{\alpha, i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right)$,
where $k$ is the time step index, $\hat{f}_{\alpha, i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}$ are the numerical fluxes at the cell boundaries, and the collision operators are dependent on $n_{i}^{k+1}, u_{\|, i}^{k+1}, T_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}, T_{e, i}^{k+1}$.

## Outline of the scheme

$f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha \alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha e, i}^{k+1}+\frac{q_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \Delta t E_{\|, i}^{k+1} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}}{\partial v_{\|}}=f_{\alpha, i}^{k}-v_{\|} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left(\hat{f}_{\alpha, i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\hat{f}_{\alpha, i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right)$

1. Solve for $n_{i}^{k+1}, u_{\|, i}^{k+1}, T_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}, T_{e, i}^{k+1}$ for LHS.
2. Discretize $C_{\alpha \alpha, i}^{k+1}$ and $C_{\alpha e, i}^{k+1}$ using the robust structure preserving Chang-Cooper (SPCC) method [7].
3. Discretize in velocity space, $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1, \star} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\|} \times N_{\perp}}$, in tensorized CP format.
4. Solve the linear system of tensor product structure for $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1, \star}$.
5. Perform a conservative truncation for the low rank solution $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}$.
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Step 1. Zeroth, first, and second order moments of the semi-discrete kinetic ion model + semi-discrete fluid electron model. Use a quasi-Newton solver.

Step 2. Proven positivity preserving, equilibrium preserving, and relative entropy dissipative for the full rank solution.

## Step 3. CP format in 2 V cylindrical coordinates

Letting $\left[c_{\|}, d_{\| \|}\right]$and $\left[c_{\perp}, d_{\perp}\right]$ be the domains for the cylindrical velocity coordinates, we assume uniform grids

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{\|}=v_{\|, 1}<v_{\|, 2}<\ldots<v_{\|, N_{\|}}=d_{\|}  \tag{5a}\\
c_{\perp}=v_{\perp, 1}<v_{\perp, 2}<\ldots<v_{\perp, N_{\perp}}=d_{\perp} \tag{5b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Delta v_{\|}=v_{\|, j_{1}+1}-v_{\|, j_{1}}$ and $\Delta v_{\perp}=v_{\perp, j_{2}+1}-v_{\perp, j_{2}}$, for all $j_{1}, j_{2}$.
For each spatial node $x_{i}$ and time $t^{k}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k, \star}=\sum_{r=1}^{R_{i}^{k}} c_{i, r}^{k} \mathbf{U}_{i, r}^{(1), k} \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i, r}^{(2), k}  \tag{6}\\
\Uparrow \\
\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k, \star}=\sum_{r=1}^{R_{i}^{k}}\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(c_{i, r}^{k}\right) \sqrt{\left|c_{i, r}^{k}\right|} \mathbf{1}_{v_{| |}} * \mathbf{U}_{i, r}^{(1), k}\right) \otimes\left(\sqrt{\left|c_{i, r}^{k}\right|} \mathbf{1}_{v_{\perp}} * \mathbf{U}_{i, r}^{(2), k}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

## The fully discrete kinetic formulation

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha \alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha e, i}^{k+1}+\frac{q_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \Delta t E_{\|, i}^{k+1} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}}{\partial v_{\|}}=f_{\alpha, i}^{k}-v_{\| \|} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left(\hat{f}_{\alpha, i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\hat{f}_{\alpha, i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right) \\
\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k, *}=\sum_{r=1}^{R_{2}^{k}} c_{i, r}^{k} \mathbf{U}_{i, r}^{(1), k} \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i, r}^{(2), k}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{A}_{1, i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{N_{\perp} \times N_{\perp}}+\mathbf{I}_{N_{\|} \times N_{\|}} \otimes \mathbf{A}_{2, i}\right) \operatorname{vec}\left(\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1, \star}\right)=\mathbf{b}_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solve to get $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1, \star}$.

## Step 4. An implicit solver for linear systems of tensor product structure by Grasedyck [2]

The general solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{1} \otimes I+I \otimes A_{2}\right) x=\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{1}^{k} \otimes b_{2}^{k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \approx-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{j=-K}^{K} \frac{2 w_{j}}{\lambda_{\min }} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{2}\left(\exp \left(\frac{2 t_{j}}{\lambda_{\min }} A_{i}\right) b_{i}^{k}\right)\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(t_{j}, w_{j}\right)$ are the Stenger nodes and weights, $\lambda_{\text {min }}=\min \left(\Lambda\left(A_{1} \otimes I+I \otimes A_{2}\right)\right)$.

- Extends Stenger quadrature for scalar exponentials to matrix exponential.
- Rank is $m(2 K+1)$.


## Step 5. A Local Macroscopic Conservative (LoMaC) low rank tensor method [3]

Motivation: SVD destroys the conservation.
Idea: Define the subspace that preserves the zeroth, first, and second order moments,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{N} \doteq \operatorname{span}\left\{1, v_{\|}, v_{\|}^{2}+v_{\perp}^{2}\right\} .  \tag{11}\\
\mathbf{f}^{\star}=\mathbf{f}^{(M)}+\mathbf{f}^{(2), \star} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathbf{f}^{(M)}$ carries all the mass, momentum, and energy.
$\mathbf{f}^{(2), \star}$ carries zero mass, momentum, and energy.
Truncate $\mathbf{f}^{(2), \star}$ using an SVD-type truncation algorithm [4].

## Weighted inner product space for projection

Consider the weighted $L^{2}$ inner products

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle F(\mathbf{v}), G(\mathbf{v})\rangle_{w} \doteq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\mathbf{v}) G(\mathbf{v}) w(\mathbf{v}) v_{\perp} d v_{\perp} d v_{\|}  \tag{13a}\\
& \left\langle F\left(v_{\|}\right), G\left(v_{\|}\right)\right\rangle_{w_{1}} \doteq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F\left(v_{\|}\right) G\left(v_{\|}\right) w_{1}\left(v_{\|}\right) d v_{\|}  \tag{13b}\\
& \left\langle F\left(v_{\perp}\right), G\left(v_{\perp}\right)\right\rangle_{w_{2}} \doteq \int_{0}^{\infty} F\left(v_{\perp}\right) G\left(v_{\perp}\right) w_{2}\left(v_{\perp}\right) v_{\perp} d v_{\perp} \tag{13c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the weight functions are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(\mathbf{v})=w_{1}\left(v_{\| \mid}\right) w_{2}\left(v_{\perp}\right)  \tag{14a}\\
& w_{1}\left(v_{\|}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(-v_{\| \mid}^{2}\right) v_{\|}^{2}}{2}  \tag{14b}\\
& w_{2}\left(v_{\perp}\right)=\exp \left(-v_{\perp}^{2}\right) v_{\perp} \tag{14c}
\end{align*}
$$

## Discrete orthonormal basis

Discrete tensor-product orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{N},\left\{\mathbf{V}_{1}, \mathbf{V}_{2}, \mathbf{V}_{3}\right\}$ given by

$$
\left\{\mathbf{V}_{1}=\mathbf{X}_{1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{1}^{(2)}, \mathbf{V}_{2}=\mathbf{X}_{2}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{1}^{(2)}, \mathbf{V}_{3}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{X}_{3}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{1}^{(2)}+\mathbf{X}_{1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{2}^{(2)}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{(1)}=\frac{\mathbf{1}_{v_{\|}}}{\left\|\mathbf{1}_{v_{\|}}\right\|_{w_{1}}}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\|}}{\left\|\mathbf{v}_{\|}\right\|_{w_{1}}}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{3}^{(1)}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\|}^{2}-c_{1} \mathbf{1}_{v_{\|}}}{\left\|\mathbf{v}_{\|}^{2}-c_{1} \mathbf{1}_{v_{\|} \|}\right\|_{w_{1}}}\right\}  \tag{16a}\\
\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{\mathbf{1}_{v_{\perp}}}{\left\|\mathbf{1}_{v_{\perp}}\right\|_{w_{2}}}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{2}^{(2)}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\perp}^{2}-c_{2} \mathbf{1}_{v_{\perp}}}{\left\|\mathbf{v}_{\perp}^{2}-c_{2} \mathbf{1}_{v_{\perp}}\right\|_{w_{2}}}\right\} \tag{16b}
\end{gather*}
$$

are orthonormal bases with respect to the inner products $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{w_{1}}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{w_{2}}$, respectively.

## Projecting the solution

Given the weights we defined, $c_{1}=c_{2}$ and $\left\|v_{\|}^{2}-c_{1}\right\|_{w_{1}}=\left\|v_{\perp}^{2}-c_{2}\right\|_{w_{2}} \doteq \gamma$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{f}^{(M)} \doteq & \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{n}{\|1\|_{w}} \mathbf{X}_{1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{1}^{(2)}+\frac{n u_{\|}}{\left\|v_{\|}\right\|_{w}} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{1}^{(2)}\right.  \tag{17}\\
& \left.+\frac{\left(2(n U)-\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) n\right)}{2 \gamma}\left(\mathbf{X}_{3}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{1}^{(2)}+\mathbf{X}_{1}^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{2}^{(2)}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $n, n u_{\|}$, and $n U$ are the ion mass, momentum, and energy.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{f}^{(M)}+\left(I-P_{\mathcal{N}}\right)\left(T_{\epsilon}\left(\left(I-P_{\mathcal{N}}\right)\left(\mathbf{f}^{\star}\right)\right)\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Outline of the scheme

$f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha \alpha, i}^{k+1}-\Delta t C_{\alpha e, i}^{k+1}+\frac{q_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \Delta t E_{\|, i}^{k+1} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}}{\partial v_{\|}}=f_{\alpha, i}^{k}-v_{\|} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left(\hat{f}_{\alpha, i+\frac{1}{2}}^{k}-\hat{f}_{\alpha, i-\frac{1}{2}}^{k}\right)$

1. Solve for $n_{i}^{k+1}, u_{\|, i}^{k+1}, T_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}, T_{e, i}^{k+1}$ for LHS.
2. Discretize $C_{\alpha \alpha, i}^{k+1}$ and $C_{\alpha e, i}^{k+1}$ using the robust structure preserving Chang-Cooper (SPCC) method [7].
3. Discretize in velocity space, $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1, \star} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\|} \times N_{\perp}}$, in tensorized CP format.
4. Solve the linear system of tensor product structure for $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1, \star}$.
5. Perform a conservative truncation for the low rank solution $\mathbf{f}_{\alpha, i}^{k+1}$.
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## Standing shock problem

Simulation of a Mach-5 steady-state shock.


Figure: Top row ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ ): evolution of the number density, drift velocity, ion temperature, and electron temperature. Bottom row (d,e,f): conservation of mass, momentum, and energy. Spatial mesh $N_{x}=51$. Velocity domain $[0,8] \times[-8,10]$ with mesh $N_{v_{\perp}}=121$, $N_{v_{\|}}=121$. Stenger quadrature $K=15$. Singular value tolerance $\epsilon=1.0 e-05$. Time-stepping size $\Delta t=0.3$.

## cont...



Figure: Average rank $\frac{1}{N_{x}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{x}} J_{i}^{k}$, where $J_{i}^{k}<R_{i}^{k}$.

## Single ion species relaxation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial t}=\nu_{\alpha \alpha} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot\left(\frac{T_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_{\alpha}+\left(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\right) f_{\alpha}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$



Numerical solution at time $\mathbf{t}=15$


Figure: Velocity domain $[0,14] \times[-14,16]$ with mesh $N_{v_{\perp}}=301, N_{v_{\|}}=301$. Stenger quadrature $K=150$. Singular value tolerance $\epsilon=1.0 e-05$. Time-stepping size $\Delta t=0.3$.

## cont...








Figure: Top row ( $a, b, c$ ): conservation of mass, momentum, and energy. Bottom row (d,e,f): rank, $L^{1}$ decay, relative entropy dissipation.

## Convergence study with mesh refinement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial t}=\nu_{\alpha \alpha} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \cdot\left(\frac{T_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} f_{\alpha}+\left(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}_{\alpha}\right) f_{\alpha}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure: Velocity domain $[0,14] \times[-14,16]$. Stenger quadrature $K=150$. Singular value tolerance $\epsilon=1.0 e-05$. Time-stepping size $\Delta t=0.3$. Final time $T_{f}=1$.
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## What's next?

Take-home messages: conservative truncation in cylindrical coordinates, low rank tensor scheme for kinetic models.

- Model two or more ion species.
- Modify algorithm to avoid Grasedyck's method.

Matrix exponentials make up nearly $90 \%$ of run time.
Several quadrature nodes are required ( $\sim 100$ nodes for three digits of accuracy).

But, highly parallelizable.
Switching to a preconditioned tensorized Krylov method [6].
Dynamical low rank algorithm similar to [1].

- Extend to 2D2V.
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